

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTHONY JAMAL WITT,

Plaintiff,

v.

SOLANO COUNTY, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:21-cv-2198-EFB P

ORDER

Plaintiff is a former county jail inmate proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. He commenced this action on November 29, 2021 by filing a civil rights complaint. ECF No. 1. He has since filed a supplement and several exhibits to the complaint (ECF Nos. 5 & 8), along with two applications to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF Nos. 2, 7).¹

Federal courts must engage in a preliminary screening of cases in which prisoners seek redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The court must identify cognizable claims or dismiss the complaint, or any portion of the complaint, if the complaint “is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted,” or “seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief.” *Id.* § 1915A(b).

¹ Plaintiff’s in forma pauperis application makes the showing required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1) and (2) and is therefore granted.

1 After plaintiff filed his complaint, he filed a document describing the nature of his claims
2 and the relief he seeks against Solano County in this case. ECF No. 5 at 1-2. He also filed copies
3 of administrative grievances related to his claims in this case. ECF No. 8 at 2-3. To add, omit, or
4 correct information in the operative complaint, however, plaintiff must file an amended complaint
5 that is complete within itself. Filing separate documents that are intended to be read together as
6 one is not the proper means of amending or supplementing a complaint. *See Forsyth v. Humana*,
7 114 F.3d 1467, 1474 (9th Cir. 1997) (the “amended complaint supersedes the original, the latter
8 being treated thereafter as non-existent.”) (quoting *Loux v. Rhay*, 375 F.2d 55, 57 (9th Cir.
9 1967)). Plaintiff’s complaint is dismissed with leave to amend in accordance with the
10 requirements set forth in this order.

11 Plaintiff is cautioned that any amended complaint must identify as a defendant only
12 persons who personally participated in a substantial way in depriving him of his constitutional
13 rights. *Johnson v. Duffy*, 588 F.2d 740, 743 (9th Cir. 1978) (a person subjects another to the
14 deprivation of a constitutional right if he does an act, participates in another’s act or omits to
15 perform an act he is legally required to do that causes the alleged deprivation).

16 The amended complaint must also contain a caption including the names of all defendants.
17 Fed. R. Civ. P. 10(a).

18 Plaintiff may not change the nature of this suit by alleging new, unrelated claims. *See*
19 *George*, 507 F.3d at 607. Nor, as he was warned above, may he bring multiple, unrelated claims
20 against more than one defendant. *Id.*


21 Any amended complaint should be as concise as possible in fulfilling the above
22 requirements. Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). Plaintiff should avoid the inclusion of procedural or factual
23 background which has no bearing on his legal claims. He should also take pains to ensure that his
24 amended complaint is as legible as possible. This refers not only to penmanship, but also spacing
25 and organization. Plaintiff should carefully consider whether each of the defendants he names
26 actually had involvement in the constitutional violations he alleges. A “scattershot” approach in
27 which plaintiff names dozens of defendants will not be looked upon favorably by the court.

28 /////

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF Nos. 2 & 7) is granted;
2. Plaintiff's complaint (ECF No. 1) is dismissed with leave to amend within 30 days from the date of service of this order; and
3. Failure to comply with any part of this this order may result in dismissal of this action.

DATED: January 20, 2022.


EDMUND F. BRENNAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE